

pin ~~intended for fitting~~ designed to fit in a seat formed in the shoulder of the piece of furniture.

REMARKS

The Examiner rejected the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2, as being too ambiguous or too indefinite. Applicant has amended the claims to obviate the Examiner's rejections and objections. Thus, the claims should now be satisfactory and the objection/rejection based on the claims can be withdrawn, and the claims can be examined. Further, since none of the prior art of record appears to anticipate or suggest all elements of the claims as amended, the claims can be allowed.

* * * * *

In view of the foregoing remarks, the subject application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Therefore, further consideration and allowance of the subject application is requested. If the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, please call Applicant's Attorney, David E. Henn at (585) 325-4618, SHLESINGER & FITZSIMMONS, Rochester, New York 14604, or fax him at (585) 232-5997.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "D. E. Henn", is written over a horizontal line. A large, sweeping curved line is drawn above the signature, starting from the left and ending to the right of the signature.

SHLESINGER & FITZSIMMONS

By: David E. Henn
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 37,546

DEH/kmh